IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR

DENNIS FAIRBANKS,

Plaintiff,

OCT 1 3 2000

v.

No. CIV KILLEN MENELLE CLERK

DAVID R. PETTINGILL and PETTINGILL ENTERPRISES, INC., a New Mexico corporation,

CIV O O

1437

Defendants.

RICHARD L. PUGLISI

NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

To: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

COME NOW the Defendants, David R. Pettingill and Pettingill Enterprises, Inc., by and through their counsel of record, Guebert & Yeomans, P.C., and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, 28 U.S.C. § 1446, Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(c) and D.N.M.LR-Civ. 81.1, move this Court to allow removal of the above-entitled action to the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico. As grounds therefore, Defendant would show:

- 1. On or about August 24, 2000, a Complaint for Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act [29 U.S.C. § 201 et. seq.], Breach of Contract, Conversion, Fraud and Negligent Misrepresentation (hereinafter "Complaint") was filed in the Seventh Judicial District Court, County of Torrance, State of New Mexico. The case was docketed as Torrance County Cause No. D 0722-CV-2000-161. The Plaintiff is Dennis Fairbanks.
 - 2. The Complaint names David R. Pettingill and Pettingill Enterprises, Inc., as the

Defendants. All the defendants consent to this Notice of Removal.

- 3. Pettingill Enterprises, Inc., was served through David R. Pettingill, President, with a copy of the Complaint and Summons on or about September 14, 2000. David R. Pettingill was personally served with a copy of the Complaint and Summons on or about September 14, 2000.
- 4. The Complaint asserts claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 (2000) et. seq., and New Mexico State Law. Specifically, this Complaint asserts a claim pursuant Section 16(b) of the FSLA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), because it asks for an award of unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys fees, costs, prejudgment interest, and post judgment interest pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207 (2000).
- 5. The Complaint is a civil action over which this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (2000), and 28 U.S.C. § 1337 (2000). Barrett v. McDonald's of Oklahoma City, et. al., 419 F.Supp. 792 (D. W.D. Okla. 1976); Goettel v. Glenn Berry Farms, 236 F.Supp. 884 (D. N.D. Okla. 1964)(citing State of Missouri ex. rel. St. Louis, Brownsville & Mexico Ry. Co. v. Taylor, 266 U.S. 200, 45 S.Ct. 47, 69 L.Ed. 247 (1924)). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (2000). This civil action is removable by the Defendants under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a)(b) and (c) (2000) without regard to diversity of citizenship or the amount in controversy. Goettel, 236 F.Supp. 884 (1964). Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.
- 6. The Defendants David R. Pettingill and Pettingill Enterprises, Inc., may assert counterclaims over which this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121 (2000) and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(b) (2000), such as unfair competition pursuant to Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125 (a), and common law unfair competition.

7. In accordance with D.N.M.LR-Civ 81.1(a), attached hereto are copies of all pleadings served upon the Defendants in Seventh Judicial District Court No. D 0722-CV-2000-161.

(Exhibits A, B, C & D)

- 8. This Notice of removal was filed with this Court within thirty (30) days after service of the Complaint, which is the first pleading naming these Defendants.
- 9. Defendants David R. Pettingill and Pettingill Enterprises, Inc., upon filing of this Notice of Removal, gave written notice of the filing to Plaintiff as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), and filed a copy of this Notice of removal with the clerk of the Seventh Judicial District Court, County of Torrance, State of New Mexico, the Court from which this action is removed.
 - 10. This Notice of removal is signed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 11.

WHEREFORE, the Defendants, David R. Pettingill and Pettingill Enterprises, Inc., pray that the above-entitled action be removed from the Seventh Judicial District Court, County of Torrance, State of New Mexico, to this Court.

GUEBERT & YEOM

By

Terry R. Gueber

Marcus E. Gardia

P.O. Box 93880

Albuquerque, NM 87199-3880

(505) 823-2300

Attorneys for Defendant

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Removal To United States District Court For The District of New Mexico was mailed to:

J. Edward Hollington 708 Marquette Avenue, NW

Albuquerque, NM 87102

(505) 843-9171

of/October, 2000.

poval to U.S. District Court.doc 5368.002\Notice of Ref



SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF TORRANCE

DENNIS FAIRBANKS,

VŠ.

Plaintiff,

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF TORRANCE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICY COURT

FILED

AUG 2 4 2000

Y 30 Time:

JULIEANNE WELCH, DEPUTY Clerk

NO. D 0722-CV-2000-161

DAVE R. PETTINGILL and PETTINGILL ENTERPRISES, INC., a New Mexico corporation,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT [29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.], BREACH OF CONTRACT, CONVERSION, FRAUD AND NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff Dennis Fairbanks, by and through his attorney of record, J. Edward Hollington, J. Edward Hollington & Associates, P.A., and for his complaint against the Defendants states:

- Plaintiff Dennis Fairbanks, hereinafter "Mr. Fairbanks", is a resident of Moriarty, 1. Torrance County, New Mexico.
- Defendant Dave R. Hettingill is a resident of Mountainair, Torrance County, New 2. Mexico.
- Defendant Pettingill Enterprises, Inc., hereinafter "PEI", is a New Mexico 3. corporation principally located in Thrrance County, New Mexico. PEI's New Mexico corporation number is 1990449.
- 4. Mr. Fairbanks was employed as a fabricator and welder by the Defendants from May of 1999, until his termination in July 7, 2000.



- Defendants were engaged in the business of building and selling conveyor **5**. machines.
- Mr. Fairbanks designed a conveyor machine which was better quality, more 6. reliable, and better durability than the conveyors previously built by the Defendants before the Plaintiff began working with them.
- The Defendant Dave Pettingill, promised that if Plaintiff designed and built an 7. improved conveyor, he would pay him a royalty fee of \$500.00 on each machine.
- Mr. Fairbanks developed drawings and specifications for the new conveyor and 8. based on promises made by the Defendants, delivered the plans and specifications to Defendants.
- Mr. Fairbanks worked day and night, seven (7) days a week to produce conveyors 9. which he had developed and designed.
- Mr. Fairbanks was paid \$13.00 an hour. He was required to work over forty (40) 10. hours per week; yet he was not paid overtime.
- Mr. Fairbanks worked one thousand five hundred eighty-six and one-half (1,586 11. and 1/2) hours of overtime during his employment with the Defendants.
- Mr. Fairbanks asked the Defendants about payment for overtime and was told by 12. Defendant Dave Pettingill, that he does not pay overtime.
- The Defendants have sold at least sixteen (16) conveyor machines without paying 13. Plaintiff the agreed royalty fee of \$500.00 per machine.
- Plaintiff's rate for overtime pay under the Fair Labor Standards Act, hereinafter 14. "FLSA" 29 U.S.C § 201 et seq., is \$19.50 per hour.

- 15. The Defendants were regularly late in paying Mr. Fairbanks and other employees' wages.
- 16. Mr. Fairbanks made demand on the Defendants for payment of wages, overtime and royalty fees.
 - 17. Defendant Dave Pettingill responded by firing Mr. Fairbanks.
- 18. Plaintiff has been required to retain the services of an attorney and has incurred attorney fees and costs in bringing this action.

COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF FLSA

- 19. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 18 above as though the same are fully set forth herein.
- 20. Defendants are employers engaged in the industry affecting commerce under FLSA.
 - 21. Mr. Fairbanks was a non-exempt employee as defined by FLSA.
- 22. Plaintiff worked one thousand five hundred eighty-six and one-half (1,586 and 1/2) hours in excess of forty (40) hour work weeks during his employment.
- 23. The Defendants had a duty to compensate Mr. Fairbanks at the federal rate of time and one-half for any hours in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
- 24. Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff at the overtime rate as required by law for hours worked over forty (40) hours per week.
- 25. The Defendants' actions were willful and have caused Mr. Fairbanks loss of income.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment against the Defendants and award him relief as follows:

- a. \$30,936.75 for unpaid overtime;
- b. liquidated damages as provided by FLSA;
- c. attorney fees and costs;
- d. pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
- d. such other and further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

COUNT II BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 26. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 25 above as though the same are fully set forth herein.
- 27. The Defendants made promises to Mr. Fairbanks that if he [Mr. Fairbanks] developed, designed and built conveyors, the Defendants would pay Mr. Fairbanks a royalty fee of \$500.00 for each machine sold.
 - 28. Mr. Fairbanks developed, designed and built conveyors as agreed.
- 29. The Defendants breached the terms of the contract by refusing to pay Mr. Fairbanks \$500.00 per machine as promised.
 - 30. The Defendants have sold at least sixteen (16) machines.
- 31. The Defendants owe Mr. Fairbanks \$8,000.00 for sixteen (16) machines sold plus \$500.00 for any additional machines sold.
- 32. Defendants breach of the contract was done with malice and ill-will and Mr. Fairbanks is entitled to punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment against the Defendants and award him damages for breach of contract as follows:

- \$8,000.00 for sale of sixteen (16) machines and \$500.00 for any additional machines sold;
 - punitive damages; b.
 - pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and C.
 - such other and further relief as the Court deems and appropriate. d.

COUNT III CONVERSION

- 33. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 32 above as though the same are fully set forth herein.
- 34. Defendants have taken Mr. Fairbanks' plans and designs for conveyors without compensation or consideration.
- 35. The Plaintiff did not assign or transfer to the Defendants his proprietary rights to the plans and designs for the conveyor machines.
- The Defendants wrongfully took Plaintiff's plans and designs with intent to 36. defraud the Plaintiff of his proprietary rights to exploit the conveyors.
- Defendants' conduct is wanton, willful and malicious and Mr. Fairbanks is 37. entitled to punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment against the Defendants for conversion and award Plaintiff reasonable value for his proprietary rights for the plans and designs of the conveyors or in the alternative enjoin the Defendants from any further

exploitation of Plaintiff's proprietary rights, for reasonable attorney fees, costs, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and such other and further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

COUNT IV

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates all allegations contained in the 38. foregoing paragraphs 1 through 37 above as though the same are fully set forth herein.
- Defendants made false misrepresentations to Mr. Fairbanks for the purpose of **39**. inducing him into developing and designing a conveyor machine.
- Defendants represented to Mr. Fairbanks that he would be continuously employed 40. and would receive a royalty fee of \$\$00.00 from the sale of each machine which Mr. Fairbanks had developed and designed.
 - The Defendants representations were false. 41.
 - Mr. Fairbanks has been damaged as a proximate result of the Defendants' fraud. 42.
- Defendants' conduct constitute willful, wanton, and malicious actions against 43. Plaintiff and Mr. Fairbanks is entitled to punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court to enter a judgment against the Defendants and award Plaintiff damages to be determined at trial, plus pre-judgment and postjudgment interest, punitive damages and such other and further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

COUNT VI NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates all allegations contained in the 44. foregoing paragraphs 1 through 43 above as though the same are fully set forth herein.
- Defendants made false misrepresentations to Mr. Fairbanks for the purpose of 45. inducing him into developing and designing a conveyor machine.
- Defendants represented to Mr. Fairbanks that he would be continuously employed 46. and would receive a royalty fee of \$\$00.00 from the sale of each machine which Mr. Fairbanks had developed and designed.
 - The Defendants representations were false. 47.
 - Mr. Fairbanks has been damaged as a proximate result of the Defendants' fraud. 48.
- Desendants' conduct constitute willful, wanton, and malicious actions against 49. Plaintiff and Mr. Fairbanks is entitled to punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court to enter a judgment against the Defendants and award Plaintiff damages to be determined at trial, plus pre-judgment and postjudgment interest, punitive damages and such other and further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted:

J. Edward Hollington & Associates, P.A.

J. Edward Hollington

Attorney for Plaintiff

708 Marquette, NW

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9171

(505) 843-7027 Fax

OCT.13.2000 10:34AM HOLLINGTON LAW OFFIC

Case 1:00-cv-01437-RLP-KBM Document 1 Filed 10/13/00 PageNP364017 P.2/5

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATE OF NEW MFXICO COUNTY OF TORRANCE

DENNIS FAIRBANKS,

Plaintiff.

MATE OF NEW MEXICO.
COUNTY OF TORRANGE
SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT FORMS.
FIRE E.

SEP 2.5 2000

Time: 10:10 VIOLA LUERAS, Clar'

٧S.

NO. D 0722-CV-2000-161

DAVE R. PETTINGILL and PETTINGILL ENTERPRISES, INC., a New Mexico corporation,

Desendants.

SUMMONS

TO:

Dave R. Pettingill
308 N. Hanlon Avenue
Mountainair, New Mexico

Defendant(s), Greeting:

You are hereby directed to serve a pleading or motion in response to the Complaint within 30 days after service of the Summons, and file the same, all as provided by law.

You are notified that, utiless you so serve and file a responsive pleading or motion, the Plaintiff(3) will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in the Complaint

Attorney or Attorneys for Plaintiff:

J. Edward Hollington

Address:

708 Marquette Avenue, N.W. Albuquerque, NM 87102-2035

(505) 843-9171

WITNESS the Honorable EDMUND H. KASE II, District Judge of said Court of the State of New Mexico and the Seal of the District Court of said County, this 24th day of AUGUST, 2000.

Viola Lucras

CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT

(SEAL)

By: Aulieanne Welch

NOTE: This summons does not require you to see, telephone or write to the District Judge of the Court at this time. It does require you or your attorney to file your legal defenses to this case in writing with the Clerk of the District Court within 30 day; after the summons is legally served on you. If you do not do this, the party suing may get a Judgment by default against you.

IF YOU WANT THE ADVICE OF A LAWYER AND DON'T KNOW ONE, YOU MAY WISH TO CALL THE ALBUQUERQUE BAR ASSOCIATION LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE AT 243-2615, OR THE STATE BAR STATEWIDE LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE AT 842-6132



000 4	me ochieni Mevico	RETURN OF SERVICE		·	
STA	TE OF NEW MEXICO) ss.			
ÇQ	UNTY OP Torrance	1		•	
deli	vering a copy thereof, with cop	Y SHERIFF OR DEPUTY: vithin Summons in said County on the y of Complaint, Plaintiff's First Reques vice attached, in the following manner	st for Production to Defend	2000 by	
the with Comple	I, being duly sworn, on eath, s	OTHER PERSON MAKING SERVICE of 18 years as the day of, 2000 Production to Defendant Dave Pettingi	nd not a party to this laws: 0, by delivering a copy the	reof, with copy of	
(check	one box and fill in appropriate l	olanks)			
\bowtie	To Defendant Dave h	Petting/LL (used or refuses to receive Summons or hear)	when Defendant receives reading).	copy of Summons, is	
[]	To	, a person over the age of 15 from.	years and residing at the, who at the time	usual of	
[]	By posting a copy of the Summons and Complaint in the most public part of the premises of Defendant (used if no person found at dwelling house or usual place of abode)				
[]	To	, an	agent authorized to receive	e service of process fa	
r 1	Та	(parent) (guardian)	of Defendant		
[]		(used when Defend:	ant is a minor or an incapa	citated person).	
[]	То		(name of person)		
	association subject to a suit us political subdivision.)	of person) authorized to receive service ander a common name, a land grant boar	(Used when Defendant is rd of trustees, the State of	a corporation or New Mexico or any	
سر				•	
Fϣ_	0	Signature of Private Citizen Mak	cing Service		
	/.				
SHERI COUN	TY State of New Mexico	Subscribed and sworn to before this day of, 20		,	
Sheriff	Die Mars	Notary or Other Officer Authorized to Administer Oaths		··	

Title

Case 1:00-cy-01437 RLP-KBM Document 1 Filed 10/13/00 Page 150 of 177 P.4/5

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF TORRANCE

SEP 2 5 2000

STATE OF NEW WY. TO COUNTY OF TORRANCE

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTAICT COURT

DENNIS FAIRBANKS,

Plaintilf,

Time: 10:10 VIOLA LUERAS, Clerk

VS.

NO. D 0722-CV-2000-161

DAVE R. PETTINGILL and PETTINGILL ENTERPRISES, INC., a New Mexico corporation,

Defendants.

SUMMONS

TO:

Peningill Enterprises, Inc. 308 N. Hanlon Avenue Mountainair, New Mexico

Defendant(s), Greeting:

You are hereby directed to serve a pleading or motion in response to the Complaint within 30 days after service of the Summons, and file the same, all as provided by law,

You are notified that, unless you so serve and file a responsive pleading or motion, the Plaintiff(s) will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

Attorney or Attorneys for Plaintiff:

J. Edward Hollington

Address:

708 Marquette Avenue, N.W. Albuquerque, NM 87102-2035

(505) 843-9171

WITNESS the Honorable EDMUND H. RASE I District Judge of said Court of the State of New Mexico and the Seal of the District Court of said County, this 24 t Irlay of AUGUST . 2000.

Viola Lucras

CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT

(SEAL)

By: Musanne willer

NOTE: This summons does not require you to see, telephone or write to the District Judge of the Court at this time. It does require you or your attorney to file your legal defenses to this case in writing with the Clerk of the District Court within 30 days after the summons is legally served on you. If you do not do this, the party suing may get a Sidgment by default against you.

IF YOU WANT THE ADVICE OF A LAWYER AND DON'T KNOW ONE, YOU MAY WISH TO CALL THE ALBUQUERQUE BAR ASSOCIATION LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE AT 243-2615, OR THE STATE BAR STATEWIDE LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE AT 842-6132



OCT.13.2000 10:35AM HOLLINGTON LAW OFFIC NO.610 P.5/5 Case 1:00-cv-01437-RLP-KBM Document 1 Filed 10/13/00 Page 16 of 17

_					
	TE OF NEW MEXICO				
οι	INTY OF TOVICACE) 35.			
eliv	I certify that I served the vering a copy thereof, with cop	y SHERIFF OR DEPUTY: within Summons in said County on the 14 day of Service attached, in the following manner:			
ith plai	I, being duly swom, on oath, in Summons in said County or int Plaintiff's First Request for	Y OTHER PERSON MAKING SERVICE: say that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this lawsuit, and that I served the day of, 2000, by delivering a copy thereof, with copy of Production to Defendant Pettingili Enterprises, Inc., and the Certificate of Service			
k o	one box and fill in appropriate	blanks)			
-	To Defendant Trace I read Summons or Complaint	(used when Detendant receives copy of Summons, is or refuses to receive Summons or hear reading).			
	Toplace of abode of Defendant	, a person over the age of 15 years and residing at the usual who at the time of			
	such service was absent there	from.			
	By posting a copy of the Summons and Complaint in the most public part of the premises of Defendant (used if no person found at dwelling house or usual place of abode).				
	То	an agent authorized to receive service of process for			
	Defendant				
	To	(parent) (guardian) of Defendant			
		(used when Defendant is a minor or an incapacitated person).			
		(name of person)			
	association subject to a suit upolitical subdivision.)	(name of person) of person) authorized to receive service (Used when Defendant is a corporation or nder a common name, a land grant board of trustees, the State of New Mexico or any			
	<u> </u>				
		Signature of Private Citizen Making Service			
RII INT	FF OF DICO CR TY State of New Mexico	Subscribed and swom to before me this day of, 2000.			
iff		Notary or Other Officer Authorized to Administer Oaths			
	elivente ET vith place de ce	ETURN FOR COMPLETION BY I, being duly swom, on oath, within Summons in said County or plaint Plaintiff's First Request for hed, in the following manner: Ek one box and fill in appropriate To Defendant read Summons or Complaint To place of abode of Defendant such service was absent there By posting a copy of the Sun To			

Title